Ring-opening reactions of cyclopropanes. Part 7.¹ Selenenylation and cyanoselenenylation of ethyl 2,2-dimethoxycyclopropanecarboxylates

M. Liliana Graziano,* M. Rosaria Iesce, Flavio Cermola, Giovanni Caputo and Fulvio De Lorenzo

Dipartimento di Chimica Organica e Biochimica, Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, Complesso Universitario di Monte S. Angelo, via Cinthia, Napoli, I-80126, Italy

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 17th October 2001, Accepted 7th January 2002 First published as an Advance Article on the web 1st February 2002

The uncatalyzed reaction of C3-substituted cyclopropanes 1b-d with benzeneselenenyl chloride 3 leads to 1-ethyl 4-methyl 2-(phenylseleno)butanedioates 5b-d while the unsubstituted 1a gives the 3-phenylseleno derivative 6a. Formation of 5b-d occurs regio- and stereoselectively *via* electrophilic ring-opening of 1 while 6a results from the selenenylation of the alkene 2a formed *via* isomerization of 1a. The presence of TiCl₄ influences the stereochemistry of the reaction with chloride 3 while it is essential for reaction of the less reactive PhSeCN 4. With this electrophile the reactions lead to α, α -dimethoxycarbonitriles 13a-d while only 1a and 1b give also the expected cyanoselenenyl derivatives 12a and 12b. Moreover, from 1b compound *syn-* 5b is obtained, and 1a gives, *via* the alkene 2a, esters 14a and 6a. A mechanistic interpretation suggests the intermediacy of well-stabilized dipolar species 9 which should be formed by coordination of the Lewis acid to the carbonyl oxygen of 1 and subsequent ring opening.

Introduction

Vicinally donor–acceptor-substituted cyclopropanes are versatile building blocks in organic synthesis.² In particular, due to the push–pull combination of the *gem*-dialkoxy and alkoxy-carbonyl groups, alkyl 2,2-dialkoxycyclopropanecarboxylates, *e.g.* **1** (Fig. 1) undergo easy regioselective ring-opening at the



C1-C2 bond by various unsaturated electrophiles such as heterocumulenes,^{3a} alkenes,^{3b} alkynes,^{3c} carbonyl compounds,^{3d} diazenes^{3e} or oxidants⁴ leading to interesting compounds. In many cases, this electrophilic ring-opening competes with the isomerization to 1,1-dialkoxyalkenes, *e.g.* **2**, especially for C3-unsubstituted derivatives.^{1,3 α ,5} Recently, we reported that cyclopropanes 1 react smoothly also with saturated electrophiles such as sulfenyl chlorides and afford 1-ethyl 4-methyl 2-sulfenylbutanedioates and/or their 3-sulfenyl analogues, the latter deriving from the addition of the reagents to the alkenes 2.¹ Continuing our investigation into the ring-opening of cyclopropanes 1 in order to identify new methods for compounds of applicative or biological interest, we examined the reaction of 1a-d with benzeneselenenyl chloride 3 and phenyl selenocyanate 4. It also appeared interesting to compare the data for areneselenenylation with those previously reported for arenesulfenylation since the reactivity of selenenyl compounds is often compared with that of their sulfenyl analogues.6Both benzeneselenenyl chloride **3** and phenyl selenocyanate **4** add to alkenes giving rise to interesting compounds.⁹ However, while the halide **3** reacts easily, the presence of a Lewis acid catalyst is necessary in the reactions of cyanate **4**,¹⁰ with the exception of strongly nucleophilic alkenes such as enamines¹¹ or ketene acetals.¹² While no examples of cyanoselenenylation in cyclopropane derivatives have been hitherto reported, the addition of benzeneselenenyl chloride **3**^{8,13} or analogues¹⁴ has been found to occur in cyclopropane rings that form part of highly strained molecular frameworks. Moreover, selenenylation by chloride **3** has been also described for various 2-(trimethylsiloxy)cyclopropanecarboxylates but it occurs easily only if promoted by TiCl₄.⁷

Results and discussion

The reaction of the cyclopropanes **1a–d** with the halide **3** was performed under strictly anhydrous conditions at room temperature using CCl₄ as solvent and an equimolecular amount of **3**. The reaction was complete within 15 min for **1a–c** and within 24 h for **1d** (disappearance of the red colour of **3**). As shown in Scheme 1 and Table 1, cyclopropanes **1b–d** led to 1-ethyl 4-methyl 2-(phenylseleno)butanedioates **5b–d**; in particular, cyclopropanes **1b,c** which are of *trans*-configuration gave *syn*-**5b,c** preferentially. The only reaction product obtained from **1a** was the 3-phenylseleno derivative **6a**, and control experiments showed that it is formed through selenenylation of the alkene **2a** deriving from the isomerization of **1a**. In addition to the products **5b–d** and **6a**, NMR analysis showed the presence of MeCl in all the reaction mixtures, but it could not be quantified owing to its high volatility.

The reaction of cyclopropanes **1a–d** with halide **3** was also performed in the presence of TiCl₄ at -78 °C. As shown in Table 1, the catalyst influenced the ratio of the *syn* and *anti* isomers **5b**,c and enhanced the yield of **5d** while it had no effect on the reaction of **1a**.

The products were separated and purified chromatographically and satisfactory analytical and spectroscopic data were

664 J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 2002, 664–668

Table 1 Reactions of cyclopropanes 1a-d with benzeneselenenyl chloride 3 in the absence^{*a*} and in the presence^{*b*} of TiCl₄

Cyclopropane	Reaction conditions	Product (Yield %) ^c		
		5 (synlanti)	6	
1a	3		65 ^{<i>d</i>}	
1a	3/TiCl ₄		60	
1b	3	60 (85/15)		
1b	3/TiCl ₄	50 (50/50)		
1c	3	57 (80/20)		
1c	3/TiCl ₄	55 (55/45)		
1d	3	15		
1d	3/TiCl ₄	45		

^{*a*} Equimolecular amounts of **1** and **3**; dry CCl₄; room temperature; 15 min (24 h for **1d**). ^{*b*} Equimolecular amounts of **1**, **3** and TiCl₄; dry CH₂Cl₂; -78 °C; 2 h. ^{*c*} Yield and isomeric ratio were evaluated by chromatography. ^{*d*} Compound **6a** can be obtained in 70% yield by treating **2a** under the same conditions used for **1a**.



obtained for all of them. Since the diastereomeric pairs **5b**,c exhibited the same value of the vicinal coupling constant for both isomers, configuration was assigned on the basis of a straightforward comparison of ¹H NMR spectral data with those of the corresponding thio analogues.¹ For the pair **5b** the *syn*-isomer shows the doublet due to 3-CH₃ (δ 1.43) at lower field than that for the *anti*-isomer (δ 1.27) while the signal for CO₂Me (δ 3.64) is at higher field than that of the *anti* (δ 3.73). For the pair **5c** the *syn*-isomer shows signals due to the chain methylene in the δ range 1.7–2.2 and the *anti*-counterpart in the δ range 1.5–1.8.¹ Configuration of pair **5b** was also confirmed chemically by stereoselective obtaining of the alkenes¹⁵ (*Z*)-7 or (*E*)-7 from *syn*- or *anti*-**5b**, respectively, *via* the well-known oxidative selenoxide *syn*-elimination¹⁶ (Scheme 2).



The results given in Table 1 for **1b–d** may be explained in terms of the two classical competitive corner and edge attacks of an electrophile at a cyclopropane ring.¹⁷ A representation of



the two pathways is shown in Scheme 3 using *trans*-1b,c as substrates in order to evidence the configurations of the reaction products, too. The $S_{\rm E}$ 2-type corner attack, which occurs with inversion of configuration at C1, should generate the wellstabilized ionic *syn* intermediates **8b**,c which, through an $S_{\rm N}$ 2 type displacement, should lead to *syn*-**5b**,c and MeCl. Instead, the edge attack leads, with retention at the same carbon C1, to *anti*-**5b**,c and MeCl (Scheme 3). The high *syn* diastereoselectivity observed is due to the preferred corner attack as previously found in the sulfenylation.¹ In the presence of TiCl₄ we assume that, as reported,^{3d,7} the Lewis acid promotes ring opening to the dipolar species **9** which may be attacked at both sides as shown by the obtaining of an almost equimolecular mixture of the *syn* and *anti*-isomers **5b**,c (Table 1, Scheme 4).



Unlike 1b-d, the C3-unsubstituted 1a never affords 5a but only 6a, which is the adduct to the alkene 2a. This suggests that in the presence of TiCl₄ the intermediate 9a once formed promptly isomerizes to 2a before adding the electrophile 3.¹⁸ In the absence of TiCl₄ it is necessary to assume that the formation of 2a is induced by selenenyl halide acting as Lewis acid catalyst since the uncatalyzed isomerization of 1a occurs at prolonged times and high temperature.⁵

As expected, no reaction of **1a–d** took place with the less reactive PhSeCN **4** at both room temperature and at reflux in the absence of a catalyst, and only unchanged cyclopropane or its alteration product was observed (see Experimental section). When TiCl₄ was used, the best procedure was to add one equivalent of the acid to a precooled solution of equimolecular amounts of **1** and **4** at -78 °C. As shown in Scheme 5 and Table 2, all of the cyclopropanes **1a–d** gave the carbonitriles **13a–d** while only **1a** and **1b** led to 2-phenylseleno-4-cyanobutanoates

Table 2 Product distribution in the reactions of cyclopropanes 1a-d with phenyl selenocyanate 4^a

	Yields (%) ^b					
Cyclopropane	5	6	12	13	14	
1a 1b 1c 1d	15°	10	20 22 °	20 20 35 30	6	

^{*a*} Equimolecular amounts of **1**, **4** and TiCl₄; dry CH₂Cl₂; -78 °C; 2 h. ^{*b*} Yield by chromatography. ^{*c*} syn-Isomer.



12a and syn-12b which derive from the addition of the reagent 4 to the C1–C2 ring bond. Moreover, the cyclopropane 1b also gave syn-5b, and 1a led to 6a and the 3-phenylseleno-4-cyanobutanoate 14a, both of which are formed via the alkene 2a, as confirmed by control experiments. All of the products were isolated and fully characterized. Stereochemistry of syn-12b was assigned by converting it, according to the above procedure, to the Z-alkene 15 (Scheme 6), whose configuration



was determined on the basis of an NOESY experiment This showed NOE effects between Me and H as well as between OCH_2 and OMe.

Control experiments showed that: i) prolonged reaction times did not affect product distribution; ii) compounds **5b**, **6a**, **12a**,**b**, **13a**–**d**, **14a** were stable under the reaction conditions.

The peculiar results obtained by using selenocyanate 4 as electrophile can be explained by taking into account the experimental procedure used (the addition of $TiCl_4$ to the mixture of 1 and 4), the lower reactivity of 4 than that of selenenyl chloride 3, and the higher nucleophilicity of CN than the Cl moiety. Scheme 5 shows a reasonable mechanistic hypothesis. According to literature reports,¹⁹ it is likely that the addition of

TiCl₄ generates the primary complexes 10 which, at least in the cases of the more reactive ¹ 1a,b, are partly attacked by the selenocyanate through the preferred $S_E 2$ mode and lead to the adducts 12a and *syn*-12b *via* intermediates 11a,b. Substitution at C3 should stabilize the positive charge of the intermediate 11b so that an $S_N 2$ type of displacement by cyanide can compete and give *syn*-5b and MeCN. For all cyclopropanes 1a–d the primary complexes 10a–d collapse to the dipolar species 9a–d which lead to carbonitriles 13a–d by the nucleophilic trapping of cyanide at the positive site and the subsequent hydrolytic work-up. As suggested above, the intermediate 9a can partly isomerize to alkene 2a, which adds the electrophile 4 leading to 14a or, *via* an $S_N 2$ nucleophilic displacement of the cyanide, to 6a and MeCN.

Conclusions

This work confirms the role of donor-acceptor-substituted cyclopropanes 1 as building blocks for compounds of synthetic and applicative interest. They react with electrophilic selenenyl reagents such as 3 and 4 leading to selenobutanedioates or selenobutanoates *via* regioselective ring-opening at C1–C2 bond. Moreover, with selenocyanate 4 the functionalized α,α -dimethoxycarbonitriles 12–14 are formed in which the carbonyl group is protected as a ketal from nucleophilic attack and, hence, they would be especially suited to undergo selective nucleophilic reactions at the cyano carbon.

It is interesting to note that the results appear to indicate a higher reactivity, towards cyclopropanes 1, of benzeneselenenyl chloride 3 than that of its thio analogue. Indeed, 3 is able to add without a catalyst even to disubstituted 1d. For unsubstituted 1a, however, isomerization is still faster than ring addition, as is also observed in the sulfenylation.¹

Experimental

IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 1760/X-FT spectrophotometer using CHCl₃ as solvent. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded with a Varian Gemini-300 spectrometer using CDCl₃ as solvent and Me₄Si as internal standard. J-Values are given in Hz. DEPT techniques were employed to determine the multiplicity in the ¹³C NMR spectra. Elemental analyses were performed using a Carlo Erba EA 1108-Elemental analyzer. Low-resolution electron-impact mass spectra were obtained operating at 70 eV on a GCMS-QP5050A (Shimadzu). HPLC was performed on a Shimadzu LC-9A instrument equipped with an LCA-Shimadzu UV detector using a Merck Lichrosorb Si-60 (10 µm) column with 3 cm³ min⁻¹ flow rate of elution. CCl₄, and CH₂Cl₂ used in the reactions were anhydrous. Silica gel [0.063-0.20 mm (Macherey-Nagel)] and light petroleum (boiling range 40-60 °C) were used for column chromatography. TLC was performed on silica gel layers (Whatman PK6F). Cyclopropanes 1a,⁵ trans-1b,⁵ trans-1c,²⁰ 1d⁵ and alkene 2a⁵ were prepared according to literature methods. Benzeneselenenyl chloride 3 was purchased from Fluka and used without purification while phenyl selenocyanate 4 was prepared from 3 and trimethylsilyl cyanate as reported.21

Selenenylation of cyclopropanes 1a-d

To a 0.2 mol dm⁻³ solution of 1 (1 mmol) in dry CCl₄ an equimolecular amount of benzeneselenenyl chloride 3 was added and the resulting mixture was kept under strictly anhydrous conditions^{5,20} at room temperature until complete disappearance of the red colour of the selenenyl halide (15 min for 1a–c, 24 h for 1d). The completion of the reaction was confirmed by ¹H NMR analysis of a sample of the tetrachloride solution, recorded in CDCl₃, which also showed the presence of MeCl in all the reaction mixtures. The solvent was then removed under reduced pressure and the residue chromatographed on silica gel. For the residues obtained starting from cyclopropanes **1a** and **1d** elution with light petroleum– Et_2O (9 : 1 v/v) gave the esters **6a** (204 mg, 65%) and **5d**, respectively. From cyclopropanes **1b**,**c** column chromatography as above led to a mixture of *syn*- and *anti*-**5b**,**c** which were separated by HPLC eluting with hexane–*tert*-butyl methyl ether (17 : 3 v/v). The new compound **6a** was identified by comparison with an authentic sample synthesized independently (see below).

1-Ethyl 4-methyl 3-methyl-2-(phenylseleno)butanedioate (*syn*-**5b).** Oil (164 mg, 50%); $t_{\rm R} = 9.9$ min; $v_{\rm max}/{\rm cm}^{-1}$ 1729; $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.18 (3 H, t, *J* 7.0, OCH₂CH₃), 1.43 (3 H, d, *J* 7.0, 3-CH₃), 2.85 (1 H, m, *J* 10.3 and 7.0, 3-H), 3.64 (3 H, s, OCH₃), 3.71 (1 H, d, *J* 10.3, 2-H), 4.09 (2 H, m, *J* 7.0, OCH₂), 7.26–7.65 (5 H, m, C₆H₅); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 13.9 (q), 16.3 (q), 40.9 (d), 46.4 (d), 51.9 (q), 61.0 (t), 128.8 (d), 129.1 (d), 129.6 (s), 135.8 (d), 172.2 (s), 174.9 (s); *m/z* (EI) 330 (M⁺, ⁸⁰Se), 328 (M⁺, ⁷⁸Se). Found: C, 51.1; H, 5.4. C₁₄H₁₈O₄Se requires C, 51.07; H, 5.51%.

1-Ethyl 4-methyl 3-methyl-2-(phenylseleno)butanedioate (*anti*-**5b**). Oil (32 mg, 10%); $t_{\rm R}$ = 7.6 min; $v_{\rm max}$ /cm⁻¹ 1729; $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.19 (3 H, t, *J* 7.0, OCH₂CH₃), 1.27 (3 H, d, *J* 6.9, 3-CH₃), 3.01 (1 H, m, *J* 10.2 and 6.9, 3-H), 3.73 (3 H, s, OCH₃), 3.76 (1 H, d, *J* 10.2, 2-H), 4.10 (2 H, m, *J* 7.0, OCH₂), 7.25–7.65 (5 H, m, C₆H₅); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 14.0 (q), 16.6 (q), 42.2 (d), 45.9 (d), 52.0 (q), 61.1 (t), 127.9 (s), 128.7 (d), 129.0 (d), 135.9 (d), 171.2 (s), 174.3 (s); *m/z* (EI) 330 (M⁺, ⁸⁰Se), 328 (M⁺, ⁷⁸Se). Found: C, 51.0; H, 5.5. C₁₄H₁₈O₄Se requires C, 51.07; H, 5.51%.

1-Ethyl 4-methyl 3-ethyl-2-(phenylseleno)butanedioate (*syn***5c).** Oil (157 mg, 46%); $t_{\rm R} = 9.7$ min; $v_{\rm max}/{\rm cm}^{-1}$ 1728; $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.88 (3 H, t, J 7.3, CH₂CH₃), 1.17 (3 H, t, J 7.3, OCH₂CH₃), 1.74–2.20 (2 H, m, CH₂), 2.75 (1 H, m, 3-H), 3.64 (3 H, s, OCH₃), 3.77 (1 H, d, J 11.2, 2-H), 4.08 (2 H, q, J 7.3, OCH₂), 7.25–7.64 (5 H, m, C₆H₅); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 10.3 (q), 13.8 (q), 23.3 (t), 44.5 (d), 47.0 (d), 51.8 (q), 60.9 (t), 127.1 (s), 128.8 (d), 129.0 (d), 135.9 (d), 172.3 (s), 174.2 (s); m/z (EI) 344 (M⁺, ⁸⁰Se), 342 (M⁺, ⁷⁸Se). Found: C, 52.4; H, 5.9. C₁₅H₂₀O₄Se requires C, 52.48; H, 5.87%.

1-Ethyl 4-methyl 3-ethyl-2-(phenylseleno)butanedioate (*anti*-**5c).** Oil (38 mg, 11%); $t_{\rm R} = 6.4$ min; $v_{\rm max}/{\rm cm}^{-1}$ 1728; $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.90 (3 H, t, J 7.1, CH₂CH₃), 1.18 (3 H, t, J 7.0, OCH₂CH₃), 1.55–1.77 (2 H, m, CH₂), 2.88 (1 H, m, 3-H), 3.72 (d, J 11.2) and 3.74 (s) (together 4 H, 2-H and OCH₃), 4.10 (2 H, m, OCH₂), 7.25–7.65 (5 H, m, C₆H₅); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 11.7 (q), 13.9 (q), 25.2 (t), 45.0 (d), 49.3 (d), 51.7 (q), 61.1 (t), 127.9 (s), 128.8 (d), 129.0 (d), 135.9 (d), 171.3 (s), 173.8 (s); m/z (EI) 344 (M⁺, ⁸⁰Se), 342 (M⁺, ⁷⁸Se). Found: C, 52.6; H, 5.8. C₁₅H₂₀O₄Se requires C, 52.48; H, 5.87%.

1-Ethyl 4-methyl 3,3-dimethyl-2-(phenylseleno)butanedioate (5d). Oil (52 mg, 15%); v_{max} /cm⁻¹ 1723; $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.19 (3 H, t, *J* 7.1, OCH₂CH₃), 1.43 and 1.46 (6 H, 2 s, 2 × 3-Me), 3.65 (3 H, s, OCH₃), 3.82 (1 H, s, 2-H), 4.12 (2 H, m, OCH₂), 7.23–7.70 (5 H, m, C₆H₅); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 13.9 (q), 23.7 (q), 23.9 (q), 45.0 (s), 52.0 (q), 53.8 (d), 60.8 (t), 128.1 (d), 129.1 (d), 130.1 (s), 134.7 (d), 172.2 (s), 176.0 (s); *m/z* (EI) 344 (M⁺, ⁸⁰Se), 342 (M⁺, ⁷⁸Se). Found: C, 52.5; H, 5.9. C₁₅H₂₀O₄Se requires C, 52.48; H, 5.87%.

Selenenylation of alkene 2a with selenenyl chloride 3

A 0.2 mol dm⁻³ solution of alkene **2a** (1 mmol) in dry CCl₄ was treated with halide **3** as reported above for **1a**. Then, the solvent was removed and chromatography of the residue on silica gel and elution with light petroleum–Et₂O (9 : 1 v/v) gave *1-ethyl 4-methyl 3-(phenylseleno)butanedioate* **6a** (220 mg, 70%) as an oil; $t_{\rm R} = 15.7$ min (hexane–*tert*-butyl methyl ether, 9 : 1); $v_{\rm max}/{\rm cm}^{-1}$ 1734; $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.21 (3 H, t, *J* 7.0, CH₃), 2.70 (1 H, dd, *J* 17.4 and 5.9) and 2.89 (1 H, dd, *J* 17.4 and 9.9) (together CH₂), 3.68 (3 H, s, OCH₃), 4.01 (1 H, m, 3-H), 4.04 (2 H, q, *J* 7.0, OCH₂),

7.23–7.65 (5 H, m, C₆H₅); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 13.7 (q), 36.7 (t + d), 51.9 (q), 60.5 (t), 126.3 (s), 128.7 (two overlapping d), 135.9 (d), 170.5 (s), 172.1(s); *m*/*z* (EI) 316 (M⁺, ⁸⁰Se), 314 (M⁺, ⁷⁸Se). Found: C, 49.5; H, 5.2. C₁₃H₁₆O₄Se requires C, 49.53; H, 5.12%.

Selenenylation in the presence of TiCl₄

To a 0.2 mol dm⁻³ solution of a cyclopropane 1 (1 mmol) in dry CH_2Cl_2 , kept at -78 °C, was added an equimolecular amount of TiCl₄ under nitrogen and the mixture was stirred. After 30 min, to the red solution, maintained at -78 °C, was added one equivalent of PhSeCl 3 in CH_2Cl_2 (4 cm³) and stirring was continued for 2 hours. After addition of THF–water (1 : 1; 1 cm³), the mixture was warmed to room temperature and then extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 5 cm³). The combined extracts were dried (MgSO₄) and, finally, evaporated. Chromatography of the residues carried out as above gave the ester **6a** starting from **1a** and the esters **5b–d** starting from **1b–d** with the yields reported in Table 1.

Cyanoselenenylation of cyclopropanes 1

To a $0.2 \text{ mol } \text{dm}^{-3}$ solution of a cyclopropane 1 (1 mmol) in dry CH_2Cl_2 , kept at -78 °C, were added an equimolecular amount of PhSeCN 4 in the same solvent (4 cm³) and TiCl₄ (1 mmol) in succession under nitrogen and the resulting mixture was stirred for 2 hours. Then, tetrahydrofuran-water (1 : 1; 1 cm³) was added and the mixture was warmed to room temperature and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 5 cm³). The combined extracts were dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated. Silica gel chromatography of the residue of 1a and elution with light petroleum-Et₂O (9:1 v/v) followed by HPLC (hexane-tert-butyl methyl ether, 9:1 v/v) gave 12a (71 mg, 20%), 14a (22 mg, 6%), 6a (32 mg, 10%) and 13a (40 mg, 20%), successively. Column chromatography (light petroleum–Et₂O, 9:1 v/v) of the mixture from 1b followed by HPLC (hexane-tert-butyl methyl ether, 17:3 v/v) gave the ester 13b (43 mg, 20%), syn-5b (49 mg, 15%) and syn-12b (81 mg, 22%), successively. For the residues starting from 1c and 1d column chromatography (light petroleum-Et₂O, 9:1 v/v) gave 13c (80 mg, 35%) and 13d (68 mg, 30%), respectively. Compound 14a was identified by comparison with an authentic sample (see below).

Ethyl 4-cyano-4,4-dimethoxy-2-(phenylseleno)butanoate (12a). Oil; $t_{\rm R} = 13.5$ min; $v_{\rm max}/{\rm cm}^{-1}$ 1730, 2237; $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.17 (3 H, t, *J* 7.3, CH₃), 2.30 (1 H, dd, *J* 14.3 and 2.7) and 2.77 (1 H, dd, *J* 14.3 and 10.7) (CH₂), 3.35 and 3.36 (6 H, 2 s, 2 × OCH₃), 3.85 (1 H, dd, *J* 10.7 and 2.7, 2-H), 4.08 (2 H, m, *J* 7.3, OCH₂), 7.25– 7.74 (5 H, m, C₆H₅); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 13.9 (q), 36.4 (d), 38.8 (t), 52.0 (q), 52.2 (q), 61.3 (t), 99.2 (s), 114.8 (s), 127.1 (s), 129.0 (d), 129.2 (d), 135.9 (d), 171.9 (s); m/z (EI) 357 (M⁺, ⁸⁰Se), 355 (M⁺, ⁷⁸Se). Found: C, 50.6; H, 5.4; N, 3.8. C₁₅H₁₉NO₄Se requires C, 50.57; H, 5.38; N, 3.93%.

Ethyl 4-cyano-4,4-dimethoxy-3-methyl-2-(phenylseleno)butanoate (syn-12b). Oil; $t_{\rm R} = 12.1$ min; $v_{\rm max}/{\rm cm}^{-1}$ 1729, 2237; $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.09 (3 H, t, J 7.0, OCH₂CH₃), 1.34 (3 H, d, J 6.8, 3-CH₃), 2.83 (1 H, m, J 6.8 and 10.2, 3-H), 3.32 and 3.37 (6 H, 2 s, 2 × OCH₃), 3.80 (1 H, d, J 10.2, 2-H), 3.96 (2 H, m, J 12.4 and 7.0, OCH₂), 7.23–7.68 (5 H, m, C₆H₅); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 13.8 (q), 14.4 (q), 39.4 (d), 46.4 (d), 50.9 (q), 52.7 (q), 60.7 (t), 103.4 (s), 114.1 (s), 128.0 (s), 128.5 (d), 129.0 (d), 135.5 (d), 172.3 (s); m/z (EI) 371 (M⁺, ⁸⁰Se), 369 (M⁺, ⁷⁸Se). Found: C, 52.0; H, 5.8; N, 3.9. C₁₆H₂₁NO₄Se requires C, 51.89; H, 5.72; N, 3.78%.

Ethyl 4-cyano-4,4-dimethoxybutanoate (13a). Oil; $t_{\rm R} = 16.7$ min; $v_{\rm max}/{\rm cm}^{-1}$ 1730, 2235; $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.27 (3 H, t, J 7.0, CH₃), 2.20– 2.32 (2 H, m, CH₂), 2.48–2.60 (2 H, m, CH₂), 3.41 (6 H, s, 2 × OCH₃), 4.15 (2 H, q, J 7.0, OCH₂); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 14.0 (q), 28.4 (t), 31.1 (t), 51.2 (q), 60.7 (t), 99.4 (s), 115.2 (s), 172.5 (s); m/z (EI) 201 (M⁺). Found: C, 53.6; H, 7.5; N, 6.8. $C_9H_{15}NO_4$ requires C, 53.72; H, 7.51; N, 6.96%.

Ethyl 4-cyano-4,4-dimethoxy-3-methylbutanoate (13b). Oil; $t_{\rm R} = 9.0 \text{ min; } v_{\rm max}/\rm{cm}^{-1}$ 1729, 2237; $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.10 (3 H, d, J 7.0, 3-CH₃), 1.27 (3 H, t, J 7.3, OCH₂CH₃), 2.16–2.30 (1 H, m, J 16.6 and 10.2) and 2.55–2.71 (2 H, m) (CHCH₂), 3.38 and 3.41 (6 H, 2 s, 2 × OCH₃), 4.15 (2 H, q, J 7.3, OCH₂); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 14.1 (q), 14.4 (q), 35.0 (d), 36.1 (t), 51.0 (q), 51.8 (q), 60.5 (t), 102.9 (s), 114.3 (s), 171.8 (s); m/z (EI) 215 (M⁺). Found: C, 55.7; H, 7.9; N, 6.4. C₁₀H₁₇NO₄ requires C, 55.80; H, 7.96; N, 6.51%.

Ethyl 4-cyano-3-ethyl-4,4-dimethoxybutanoate (13c). Oil; v_{max}/cm^{-1} 1729, 2244; δ_{H} 0.97 (3 H, t, J 7.1, CH₂CH₃), 1.27 (t, J 6.9), 1.30–1.45 (m) and 1.65–1.85 (m) (together 5 H, OCH₂CH₃ and CH₂CH₃), 2.26–2.60 (3 H, m, CHCH₂), 3.39 (6 H, s, 2 × OCH₃), 4.14 (2 H, q, J 6.9, OCH₂); δ_{C} 11.4 (q), 14.0 (q), 22.8 (t) 34.0 (t), 41.3 (d), 51.3 (q), 51.6 (q), 60.5 (t), 103.0 (s), 114.6 (s), 172.4 (s); *m/z* (EI) 229 (M⁺). Found: C, 57.6; H, 8.4; N, 6.1. C₁₁H₁₉NO₄ requires C, 57.62; H, 8.35; N, 6.11%.

Ethyl 4-cyano-4,4-dimethoxy-3,3-dimethylbutanoate (13d). Oil; v_{max} /cm⁻¹ 1724, 2234; δ_{H} 1.23 (6 H, s, 2 × 3-CH₃), 1.29 (3 H, t, *J* 7.0, OCH₂CH₃), 2.46 (2 H, s, CH₂), 3.65 (6 H, s, 2 × OCH₃), 4.15 (2 H, q, *J* 7.0, OCH₂); δ_{C} 14.2 (q), 21.7 (two overlapping q), 40.4 (t), 43.8 (s), 56.2 (q), 60.2 (t), 106.4 (s), 113.3 (s), 171.5 (s); m/z (EI) 229 (M⁺). Found: C, 57.7; H, 8.4; N, 6.1. C₁₁H₁₉NO₄ requires C, 57.62; H, 8.35; N, 6.11%.

When the reaction mixture was stirred for 6 h and worked as described, the results were very similar. When an equimolecular solution of 1 and 4 in CH_2Cl_2 was kept in the absence of $TiCl_4$ at room temperature under anhydrous conditions for 12 h, the ¹H NMR spectrum showed only the presence of the starting material 1 and no trace of the above products. At higher temperature, after 6 h, the results were similar except for the presence of polymeric material and for 1a a little of the alkene 2a, too.

Cyanoselenenylation of 2a

A 0.2 mol dm⁻³ solution of **2a** (1 mmol) in dry CH_2Cl_2 was treated with cyanate **4** as reported above for **1a**. Chromatography of the residue on silica gel (light petroleum– Et_2O , 9 : 1) followed by HPLC (hexane–*tert*-butyl methyl ether, 9 : 1 v/v) led to the esters **14a** (32 mg, 9%), **6a** (72 mg, 23%) and **13a** (36 mg, 18%), successively.

Ethyl 4-cyano-4,4-dimethoxy-3-(phenylseleno)butanoate (14a). Oil; $t_{\rm R} = 14.4$ min; $v_{\rm max}/{\rm cm}^{-1}$ 2238, 1726; $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.22 (3 H, t, *J* 7.2, Me), 2.67 and 3.00 (2 H, 2 dd, *J* 13.7, 9.8, 4.2, CH₂), 3.36 and 3.42 (6 H, 2 s, 2 × OMe), 3.90 (1 H, dd, *J* 9.8 and 4.2, 3-H), 4.10 (2 H, q, *J* 7.2, OCH₂), 7.20–7.75 (5 H, m, C₆H₅); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 14.0 (q), 35.9 (t), 44.0 (d), 52.7 (q), 52.9 (q), 61.0 (t), 103.1 (s), 114.2 (s), 127.5 (s), 128.5 (d), 129.1 (d), 135.8 (d), 170.8 (s); m/z (EI) 357 (M⁺, ⁸⁰Se), 355 (M⁺, ⁷⁸Se). Found: C, 50.7; H, 5.4; N, 3.9. C₁₅H₁₉NO₄Se requires C, 50.57; H, 5.38; N, 3.93%.

When the reaction was carried out in the absence of the Lewis acid at -78 °C for 2 h and at room temperature for 24 h, after work-up only ethyl methyl butanedioate⁵ was recovered, derived from hydrolysis of **2a**.[†]

1-Ethyl 4-methyl (E)- and (Z)-3-methylbutenedioates (7)

Oxidation was carried out as reported, ¹⁶ by adding three drops of 30% H₂O₂ to a solution of *syn*-**5b** (46 mg, 0.14 mmol) in THF (5 cm³) kept at 0 °C. NMR analysis of the mixture after 30 min showed the presence of only Z-alkene 7, which was isolated

(16 mg, 65%) by TLC using light petroleum– Et_2O (8 : 2 v/v) as eluent and identified by comparing its ¹H NMR spectrum with that reported.¹⁵

The same procedure was carried out starting from *anti*-**5a** (46 mg, 0.14 mmol) and led to the alkene *E*-**7** (18 mg, 73%) which was identified by comparing its ¹H NMR spectrum with that reported.¹⁵

Ethyl (Z)-4-cyano-4,4-dimethoxy-3-methylbut-2-enoate (15)

The same oxidative procedure as for *syn*-**5** was carried out starting from *syn*-**12b** (74 mg, 0.2 mmol) and led, after purification by TLC (light petroleum–Et₂O, 17 : 3 v/v), to the alkene *Z*-**15** (25 mg, 60%) as an oil; $v_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$ 2236, 1724, 1650; δ_{H} 1.31 (3 H, t, *J* 7.0, CH₃), 1.92 (3 H, d, *J* 1.7, 3-CH₃), 3.35 (6 H, s, 2 × OCH₃), 4.21 (2 H, q, *J* 7.0, OCH₂), 6.02 (1 H, q, *J* 1.7, 2-H); *m*/*z* (EI) 213 (M⁺). Found: C, 56.4; H, 7.1; N, 6.3. C₁₀H₁₅NO₄ requires C, 56.32; H, 7.09; N, 6.57%.

Acknowledgements

We thank CNR for financial support and MURST (L488/92) for the purchase of the GCMS-QP5050A (Shimadzu) used in this work. NMR spectra were run at the Centro di Metodologie Chimico-fisiche, Università di Napoli Federico II.

References

- 1 Part 6: M. L. Graziano, M. R. Iesce and F. Cermola, Synthesis, 1999, 1944.
- 2 Review H.-U. Reissig, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 1988, **144**, 73. For more recent work, see:; P. K. Patra and H.-U. Reissig, *Synlett*, 2001, 33; R. Zimmer, A. Ziemer, M. Gruner, I. Brudgam, H. Hartl and H.-U. Reissig, *Synthesis*, 2001, 1649.
- 3 (a) M. L. Graziano and G. Cimminiello, J. Chem. Res., (S), 1989, 42; (M), 0446; (b) S. Shimada, K. Saigo, Y. Hashimoto, Y. Maekawa, T. Yamashita, T. Yamamoto and M. Hasegawa, Chem. Lett., 1991, 1475; M. L. Graziano and S. Chiosi, J. Chem. Res., (S), 1989, 44; (c) M. L. Graziano, M. R. Iesce, F. Cermola and G. Cimminiello, J. Chem. Res., (S), 1992, 4; (M), 0157; (d) S. Shimada, Y. Hashimoto, T. Nagashima, M. Hasegawa and K. Saigo, Tetrahedron, 1993, 49, 1589; (e) M. L. Graziano, M. R. Iesce and F. Cermola, J. Chem. Res. (S), 1996, 82; (M), 0622.
- 4 V. Piccialli and M. L. Graziano, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2001, **42**, 93 and references therein.
- 5 M. L. Graziano and R. Scarpati, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1985, 289.
- 6 K. A. Jensen and A. Kjær, in *The Chemistry of Organic Selenium and Tellurium Compounds*, ed. S. Patai and Z. Rappoport, Wiley, New York, 1986, vol. 1, p. 1.
- 7 H.-U. Reissig and I. Reichelt, Tetrahedron Lett., 1984, 25, 5879.
- 8 P. L. Beaulieu, V. M. Morisset and D. G. Garratt, *Can. J. Chem.*, 1980, **58**, 1005.
- 9 E. Block and A. L. Schwan, in *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*, ed. B. M. Trost and I. Fleming, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1991, p. 329.
- 10 S. Tomoda, Y. Takeuchi and Y. Nomura, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1982, 871.
- 11 S. Tomoda, Y. Takeuchi and Y. Nomura, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1982, 23, 1361.
- 12 S. Tomoda, Y. Takeuchi and Y. Nomura, Chem. Lett., 1982, 1733.
- 13 H. Finch, R. M. Highcock, S. M. Roberts, K. M. Short and V. Sik, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1989, 670.
- 14 Y. Gaoni, Tetrahedron, 1989, 45, 2819.
- 15 D. Bornstein, A. Weisz and A. Mandelbaum, Org. Mass Spectrom., 1986, 21, 225.
- 16 J. H. Byers and G. C. Lane, J. Org. Chem., 1993, 58, 3355.
- 17 J. B. Lambert, E. C. Chelius, W. J. Schulz, Jr. and N. E. Carpenter, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, 112, 3156; J. M. Coxon, P. J. Steel and B. I. Wittington, J. Org. Chem., 1990, 55, 4136.
- 18 Methyl 2-(trimethylsiloxy)cyclopropanecarboxylates not dialkylated at C3 rearrange to the corresponding silyl enol ethers via Lewis acidcoordinated ring-opened species: H.-U. Reissig and J. Böhm, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1983, 24, 715.
- H.-U. Reissig, H. Holzinger and G. Glomsda, *Tetrahedron*, 1989, 45, 3139; S. Shimada, Y. Hashimoto, A. Sudo, M. Hasegawa and K. Saigo, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1992, 57, 7126.
- 20 M. L. Graziano and M. R. Iesce, Synthesis, 1985, 762.
- 21 S. Tomoda, Y. Takeuchi and Y. Nomura, Chem. Lett., 1981, 1069.

[†] The ketene ketals which have been reported to undergo uncatalyzed cyanoselenenylation are 1,1-diethoxyethene, which is well known to be highly reactive, and ketene alkyl silyl ketals.¹²